

1 KRISTA L. BAUGHMAN (SBN 264600)
kbaughman@dhillonlaw.com
2 HARMEET K. DHILLON (SBN 207873)
harmeet@dhillonlaw.com
3 DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.
177 Post Street, Suite 700
4 San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 520-6593
5 Facsimile: (415) 520-6593

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
JOHN STOSSEL

7
8 Thomas R. Burke (CA State Bar No. 141930)
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
9 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94111-6533
10 Telephone: (415) 276-6500
Facsimile: (415) 276-6599
11 Email: thomasburke@dwt.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendants*
SCIENCE FEEDBACK and
13 CLIMATE FEEDBACK

SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086)
Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, California 94306
Telephone: (650) 858-6000
Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

ARI HOLTZBLATT (*pro hac vice*)
Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com
MOLLY M. JENNINGS (*pro hac vice*)
Molly.Jennings@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 663-6000
Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

Attorneys for Defendant
META PLATFORMS, INC.

JOHN STOSSEL, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation;
SCIENCE FEEDBACK, a French non-profit
organization; and CLIMATE FEEDBACK, a French
non-profit organization,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:21-cv-07385-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON
STIPULATION REGARDING
CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
VIRGINIA DEMARCHE PER DKT. 39,
AND REGARDING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE PER L.R. 6-2(A)**

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER
2

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that:

- 1 1. Any objection by Defendants Science Feedback and Climate Feedback (the
2 “Feedback Defendants”) to the manner in which they were or were not served with
3 process is waived;
- 4 2. U.S. Magistrate Judge Virginia K. DeMarchi shall exercise jurisdiction over this
5 matter and be reassigned as the presiding judge;
- 6 3. The Feedback Defendants shall file their response(s) to Plaintiff’s Complaint by
7 January 31, 2022; Plaintiff shall file his opposition(s) to the Feedback Defendants’
8 response(s) by February 28, 2022; and the Feedback Defendants shall file their reply
9 by March 21, 2022;
- 10 4. The briefing schedule on Meta’s Motion to Dismiss and Special Motion to Strike
11 shall remain unchanged, with Plaintiff to file his opposition to Meta’s Motion by
12 January 11, 2022, and Meta to file its reply by February 8, 2022;
- 13 5. Discovery is stayed as to all parties, until the Court has issued an Order deciding both
14 Meta’s motions and the Feedback Defendants’ motion(s), except that Plaintiff may
15 seek to commence discovery on any factual issues raised by any special motion to
16 strike filed by the Feedback Defendants pursuant to California’s anti-SLAPP law.
- 17 6. There shall be a consolidated hearing on Meta’s pending motions, and on the
18 Feedback Defendants’ anticipated motion(s), at a date convenient to the Court in
19 April, 2022.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.
22

Dated: _____

23 _____
24 Judge of the U.S. District Court
25 Northern District of California
26
27
28